



12th October 2019

BRCA Electric on road 2019 AGM Minutes.

Welcome

Gavin Clinch welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Apologies

Dom Shore, Ben & Roger Cosgrove, Peter Covell, Mark Trinder, Gordon Harper

Attendees

A list of attendees is included as a separate document.

Minutes for 2018 AGM

Accepted no matters arising.

Chairman's Report

Gavin Clinch gave a short report on the changes made for the 2019 season and that he felt it had been a very competitive year. The change to Nationals having 1 stock class gave a true Stock national champion for the first time in a few years.

Treasurers Report

Mike Haswell gave report on finances for 2019 season after outstanding bills had cleared the balance was £11003.73. After F1 entry fees left a surplus for the year of £1712.99.

Accepted.

Competition secretary

Dale Burr All of the events had been fairly full with the changes to Nationals Stock was always full.

Eligibility Officer

Elliott Harper thanked the committee for all the work they do behind the scenes.

Section award

The section award for this year was Mike Haswell & Dale Burr for their long-standing commitment to the section.

Election of Officers

Section Chairman Gavin Clinch indicated he wanted to continue. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand. so Gavin Clinch was elected as Chairman.



Vice Chairman Alistair Hart indicated he wanted to continue. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand so Alistair Hart was elected as Chairman.

Secretary Peter Covell indicated he would stand again. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand so Peter Covell was re-elected as secretary.

Competition Secretary Dale Burr indicated he would stand. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Dale Burr was re-elected.

Treasurer & timekeeper Mike Haswell indicated he would stand. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Mike Haswell was re-elected.

Compliance officer Elliot Harper indicated he would stand again. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Elliot Harper was re-elected.

National Events Manager Jordan Norwood indicated he would stand. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Jordan Norwood was elected.

Clubman's Events Manager Mark Trinder indicated he would stand again. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Mark Trinder was re-elected.

National Scrutineer Gareth Coates said he would stand. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Gareth Coates was elected as national scrutineer.

Clubman's Scrutineer Tim & Pete Tims said they would stand. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Tim & Pete Tims was elected as clubmans scrutineer.

National PRO Billy Fletcher said he would stand. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Billy Fletcher was elected as National PRO.

Clubman's PRO. Mark Young although unable to attend the meeting had indicated that he would stand again for the post. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Mark Young was elected as Clubman's PRO.

PRO Support. New role. Loz Shaw said he would stand. No one else indicated that they wanted to stand and Loz Shaw was elected as PRO support for both series.

National Drivers Rep This post is traditionally held by the previous year's national champion but this year Chris Grainger and this year's champion Olly Jefferies said they would like to share the role as per last year. This was agreed by the meeting.

Clubman's Drivers Rep Dom Shore indicated he would stand again. He will be joined this year by Michael Lee and James Martin.

F1 Subcommittee. The following were voted into this role by the F1 series competitors present at the meeting. James Greener, Luke Lee and Malcolm Norwood

Efra Rep. This year Gordon Harper will share the role with Oli Meggitt.



Proposals

There were a total of 37 I will not put in much detail here about specific proposals that were passed as written or failed as they have all been published

A copy of all proposals is included as a separate document marked, PASS – FAIL - WITHDRAW

A.O.B

In the 2020 Handbook there will be a section on standards that will be expected all to apply to. This will also be included in drivers briefing and the emailed meeting pack.

Gavin Clinch thanks everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting.



1/10th Electric Circuit

1/10 EC AGM - 12th October 2019

BRCA NUMBER	NAME	SIGNATURE
50	GAVIN CONNOR	
396	PETE TINS	
956	JAMES STEWART	J. Stewart
150024	Maha Southall	
1199	JOHN ROBSON	
998	MALCOLM HAIN	
151257	Tim Tins	
16959	ELLIOTT HARPER	
1339	MIKE HAINWELL	
15059	Luke Lee	
4686	MICHAEL BALL	
6900	ALISTAR HART	
160029	A CHIPERINA	
160031	S CHIPERINA	
171121	L SHAW	
2622	M. NORWOOD	M. Norwood
9150	J. GREENER	J. Greener
6733	J. BUTTERFIELD	
650	G. COATES	
16447	MICHAEL LEE	
11374	JOE DAN NORWOOD	
14241	RICHARD MURPHY	
10338	Harley Eldridge	
153158	OLI MEGGITT	
2271	DALE BIRK	
16983	B ELDRIDGE	
7531	M. WHITE	
190008	I PETRE	
193337	Tracy Sterling	T.S
163337 153317	James Martin	J.M
388	Chris Grainger	



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... **...is New** ...should be: *deleted* *amended*

SECTION: RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Elliott Harper
Signature	
BRCA No.	16959
e-mail address	elliott.harper@hotmail.co.uk

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:
(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

1.4 Irrespective of the provisions of this section 1, the Section Committee Chair shall be able to raise rule proposals at any EGM or AGM meeting that better facilitate the arrangements, based on discussions of the members present.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Often, there are productive discussions at EGM or AGM meetings that can't be enacted until the subsequent year's meeting due to the existing governance arrangements. This proposal will ensure the Chair, ultimately accountable for the running of the section, is able to raise proposals in the best interests of members, subject to those proposals facilitating improvements to the current arrangements based on EGM or AGM discussions.

Note: this proposal does not provide the Chair with the ability to unilaterally impose new rules, nor raise new proposals outside the scope of EGM or AGM discussions.

Failed Ratification as Unconstitutional.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... **...is New**

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Elliott Harper
Signature	
BRCA No.	16959
e-mail address	elliott.harper@hotmail.co.uk

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

1.5 Rule proposals agreed at the Section AGM may be amended by the Committee member responsible for implementation of the provisions in an immaterial manner that wholly reflects the intent of the agreed proposal, to the extent that such amendments enhance clarity in the arrangements.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

The Committee member responsible for the implementation of agreed arrangements ensures the specific wording of agreed proposals is robust and lacks ambiguity during the implementation phase. This proposal clarifies this existing working practice and creates greater transparency of Committee functions for members.

The existing working practice is important in that it creates a rulebook that is clear for all members and Committee members alike in their interpretation of the provisions.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Elliott Harper
Signature	
BRCA No.	16959
e-mail address	elliott.harper@hotmail.co.uk

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

2.1 'Committee' - This is the BRCA 1/10th Electric Circuit Section Committee as established by the BRCA Constitution. Committee decisions require a quorum consisting of at least 40% of the total committee membership, **unless otherwise specified.**

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

The current wording of section 2.1 contradicts existing rule provisions under 1.1.2, 9.2 and 13.3 (as examples), which require a majority of present Committee members to make a decision. The additional proposed wording ensures the provisions of the rulebook are not contradictory, hence providing greater clarity for members.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

SECTION:

RULE No:

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Dale Burr
Signature	
BRCA No.	2272
e-mail address	info@rc-timing.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP

There will be ~~five~~ **three**, one-day meetings.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Reasons:

- Reduce committee workload of running the national series.
- Reduce the cost of competing in the national championship.
- Make the calendar less congested, with more time for club racing.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

SECTION:

1/10 Electric Circuit

RULE No:

3.2.2

PROPOSED BY:

WITHDRAWN

Name	Dale Burr
Signature	
BRCA No.	2272
e-mail address	info@rc-timing.com

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

CLUBMAN CHAMPIONSHIP

There will be a ~~maximum of seven~~ **five**, one-day meetings.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Same logic as reducing national rounds.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

SECTION: 1/10 EC Circuit TC

RULE No: 3.2.1

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Gavin Clinch
Signature	
BRCA No.	50
e-mail address	gavgrafix@hotmail.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

3.2 CLUBMAN CHAMPIONSHIP

3.2.1 Classes:

- ~~'13.5 Open Touring' for 13.5t brushless motors with 2S LiPo battery and Open ESC.~~
- **'Frontie' for 17.5t brushless motors with 2S LiPo battery and Blinky ESC.**
- '17.5 Blinky Touring' for 17.5t brushless motor with 2S LiPo battery and Blinky ESC.
- F1 National Championship (to run at Clubman events).

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

13.5 Boosted is the declining class with the popularity of 13.5 Blinky taking over at clubs.

FWD is the new growing class and I feel the section should be involved from the first year in helping develop and grow the class and have a hand in setting the rule set.

If passed a class rep (3 people if possible) to take on managing the class like we do in the F1 class.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Michael Lee
Signature	
BRCA No.	16447
e-mail address	michael@acelmetalwork.co.uk

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Venues to be chosen by an online vote of drivers who participated in at least the minimum number of counting rounds in the previous season. The vote will pick all tracks bar two; these will be selected by the section committee, **one of them should not have hosted a round of the championship in the previous season.** A separate vote will be held for each championship.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

The Clubmans round at Coastal was well received and I think more effort should be made to visit different tracks. Allowing the committee to choose tracks was a step in the right direction – now I think we should encourage more new hosts with a rule that will ensure one of them gets a round.

I don't intend to exclude tracks from coming back after a year off, or from hosting a round of Nationals one year and Clubmans the next. Of course if there are no other viable options, then this rule will still allow two tracks from the previous season with the wording 'should not have hosted'.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... **...is New**

...should be: **deleted** **amended**

SECTION: Booking In Nationals

RULE No: 3.3.2.1

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Bettsy
Signature	
BRCA No.	15641
e-mail address	chris.betts@sky.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Nationals:

For the first 7 days of booking-in, only the following drivers will be able to enter:

- Those who did the minimum counting national championship rounds in the previous year.
- Any junior who competed in a National or Clubmans event in the previous year.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

This is to help support the racers who do the series and to help with the 1 club racers booking in for their local club event and thus pushing out the people who race the whole series but then can't get in to all rounds or ones possible due to no space in 1 or 2 full meetings.

This will also then help with drop outs if knowing that you need to have the minimum counting rounds to receive this benefit.

Would also help give an initial idea of the heat numbers in each class and lessen the load on the BRCA website when booking in opens.

Support those who support the BRCA.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

SECTION:

RULE No:

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Dale Burr
Signature	
BRCA No.	2272
e-mail address	info@rc-timing.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Venues to be chosen by an online vote of drivers who participated in at least the minimum number of counting rounds in the previous season. The vote will pick ~~all tracks bar two~~; these **50% of the tracks, with halves rounded up. The remainder** will be selected by the section committee. A separate vote will be held for each championship.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

If we reduce the number of rounds for each series, then we need to have the option of distributing the meetings more evenly. This ensures we support as many clubs as possible, while still having a sensible mix of tracks in terms of location, size, popularity etc.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Gavin Clinch
Signature	
BRCA No.	50
e-mail address	gavgrafix@hotmail.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

3.3.2 Event Entry: Each meeting will have a maximum of 11 heats.
Entry fee ~~£16~~ **£20** per meeting (~~£13~~ **£17** going to the host club, the remainder going to section funds).
The host venue may charge up to ~~£5~~ **£10** per driver for Saturday practice.
Entries will open on 1st February each year **at 12 noon**.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	John Robson
Signature	
BRCA No.	1199
e-mail address	gavgrafix@hotmail.com

WITHDRAWN

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

3.3.2 Event Entry: Each meeting will have a maximum of 11heats. Entry fee £19 per meeting (£15 going to the host club, the remainder going to section funds). The host venue may charge up to **£10** per driver for Saturday practice. Entries will open on 1st February each year.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Over the years the clubs hosting National & Clubman events have had to absorb the large increase in Lease fees Electric charges, Waste Disposal, and in some instances water bills.

So that clubs can keep up with increased Utility Bills Race fees have to rise.

As for the increase in Practice Fees most clubs charge a £10 practice fee to use the clubs track (without Timing) so to only be allowed to charge £5 (with Timing) and provide all the Facilities which are required for a National/Clubman.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

SECTION:

RULE No:

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Dale Burr
Signature	
BRCA No.	2272
e-mail address	info@rc-timing.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Event Entry: Each meeting will have a maximum of 11 heats. Entry fee £16 per meeting (£13 going to the host club, the remainder going to section funds). The host venue may charge up to £5 per driver for Saturday practice. Entries will open ~~on 1st February~~ at noon **on the last Saturday in February.**

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Two changes here:

- Open booking at noon on a Saturday so the committee are available/awake to deal with issues.
- Move the opening date closer to the start of the season. With a big gap (3 months for nationals in 2019) we get a lot of cancellations as people change their plans. This creates work dealing with refunds, and prevents people who may actually want to race from getting an entry.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Michael Lee
Signature	
BRCA No.	16447
e-mail address	michael@acelmetalwork.co.uk

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

3.3.2

Event Entry: Each meeting will have a maximum of ~~44~~ **13** heats. Entry fee £16 per meeting (£13 going to the host club, the remainder going to section funds). The host venue may charge up to £5 per driver for Saturday practice. Entries will open on 1st February each year.

3.3.3

Event Schedule:

- Friday: Track Closed.
- Saturday: Practice (attendance optional).
- Sunday: ~~4~~ **3** Qualifying rounds, 2 Finals rounds.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Many of the events have been full this year, which is good for the section but not so good for encouraging new drivers. I have heard club racers saying they 'don't want to take a space from someone who deserves it', which I think is wrong – especially at Clubmans level.

Increasing the entry up to 130 drivers will get more people involved in national events and hopefully the rest of the series. One qualifying round would need to be dropped to keep to the same schedule, meaning it would be 2 out of 3 rounds to count for qualifying. This is less of a problem now that we only have championship points for finals.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

SECTION:	10th EC	RULE No:	3.3.5
-----------------	---------------------------	-----------------	--------------

The proposed rule*... ...is New ...should be: deleted amended

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Ben Cosgrove
Signature	
BRCA No.	3010
e-mail address	Atomic_uni@hotmail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

3.3.5 Tyre allocation. Touring car entrants are allowed to use the following number of tyres per meeting:

- Two sets of slick tyres.
- Two sets of treaded tyres.

F1 entrants are allowed to use the following number of tyres per meeting:

- One set of slick tyres. **1 extra pair of tyres allowed if deemed by the committee to be required. Front or rear can be chosen by the committee based on tyre vote and testing (testing will show what is needed based on brand). Decision to be made 2 months before first round of championship.**
- One set of treaded tyres.

2018 season saw the front tyres failing on some cars. No provision in rule to help.

2019 season has seen issues with the rear tyres 'falling off a cliff' when it comes to the finals making the cars near impossible to drive.

Allowing an extra pair would allow drivers (if they wish to) have better grip available to make the racing more fun and competitive in the finals. I have seen many disappointed drivers at the end of a meeting when the finals were horrible to drive in as they had no grip.

Note – the extra pair does not need to be new but will still be marked (same as TC)



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

SECTION: RULE No:

The proposed rule*... ...is New ...should be: deleted amended

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Dale Burr
Signature	
BRCA No.	2272
e-mail address	info@rc-timing.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Finals will consist of 10 cars except for the lowest final which may run less. If the lowest final contains just 1 car, that car may be moved to car 11 in the final above, at the race director's discretion. ~~They will score championship points for last place only, and receive a trophy only for their original final.~~

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

The line to be deleted creates more work for us in manually correcting meeting and championship results. If we have an 11-car final, let it be a proper 11-car final including all cars.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

The proposed rule*.... **...is New** ...should be: *deleted* *amended*

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

SECTION :

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Craig
Signature	Williams
BRCA No.	182058
e-mail address	craig@monitextracing.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

To add veteran's championship to national and clubman's

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

As we have a lot of senior member would be nice to see the recognised



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Gavin Clinch
Signature	
BRCA No.	50
e-mail address	gavgrafix@hotmail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

8.1 Referees may be used for qualifying heats and finals. Referees will be selected by the BRCA committee. **A driver from the previous heat to be appointed as the referee for the following heat to help monitor driving standards.**

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Help to clean up driver standards, it has been mentioned at many meetings so here is a proposal to do it.

It is done at 1/12 Nationals and works very well.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New ...should be: deleted amended

SECTION: RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Shaw
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

8.5 Referees ~~may~~ **will** be used for qualifying heats and in finals. Referees will be selected by the BRCA committee. **In the event that a referee cannot be present for the entirety of a race event, video camera(s) must be set up to record the track area for the duration of the race. Video footage may be used to settle any disputes.**

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Referees are clearly very helpful towards encouraging and enforcing good racing etiquette. It would be beneficial to have a referee for all races at an event but, since this is often an unreasonable requirement, this rule ensures there is at least some form of accountability for driving standards in the form of a video recording of the race. A pair of fixed action-camera type devices would be sufficient at most tracks. The footage may also be suitable/useful for promotional purposes



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

The proposed rule*.... ...is New ...should be: deleted \ amended

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

SECTION: 1/10th ELECTRIC
CIRCUIT SECTION RULES

RULE No: 9.5

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Luke Lee
Signature	
BRCA No.	15059
e-mail address	luke@acelmetalwork.co.uk

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

After each race, the competitors ~~in that heat will have their cars placed into technical impound~~ and assume assigned marshalling positions for the following race. No other person is allowed within the track area (except officials) while the race is in progress.

Scrutineering will be performed as per BRCA stipulations in drivers briefing.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Pre race scrutineering ensures that all cars on track have been checked before entering the track, this eliminates the potential problem of an illegal car interfering with the other cars on the race track and affecting their race even though with the present post race system they would be subsequently excluded it doesn't help the cars they interfered with.

It also gives the competitor a chance (i.e. if they were underweight due to change of battery etc.) to correct their car before going out to race.

Pre race scrutineering is used at EFRA and ETS meetings successfully and has been used by the 12th section for many years.

This does not mean that cars cannot be held after the race for further checks if this is required



Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... **...is New**

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Shaw
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

9.9 Only the Race Director, Timekeeper or a Referee may call for a restart of a race within the first lap of the leading car. If a race is abandoned after the first lap of the leading car then time must be allowed for recharging.

9.9.1 If a multi-car collision involving more than 50% of running cars occurs before the lead car has completed one whole lap, the race director must offer to restart the race. This offer may be rejected by unanimous agreement of all drivers, in which case the race will continue as normal. In the case of a restart, all cars which are still operational must return immediately to their grid position. As soon as all cars are in position the 10-second countdown will begin and drivers will be advised to proceed under caution for the first lap. Each scheduled race may only be restarted a maximum of one time under this rule.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

"First corner pile-up rule". This provides a mechanism for races to be quickly restarted in the event of the all-too common pile ups on the first lap of a race.



Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... **...is New**

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Shaw
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

9.17 During the course of a race, drivers must not:

- Cause a collision with intent.
- Gain an advantage by driving outside the defined course of the race track.
- Intentionally impede a lapping car (during timed qualifying this includes cars that are behind on track position but are predicted to record a faster time)

In the case of any advantage being accidentally gained by contravention of rule 9.17, the driver may wait at the side of the track, off the racing line until any advantage has been neutralised or any affected car in a collision has regained its position.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Currently there are no driving standards rules other than details of penalties that can be applied entirely subjectively by the referee. Having some basic driving rules in the race procedure section gives a consistent base for different referees to work from.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... **...is New**

...should be: *deleted* *amended*

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Shaw
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

10.8 Marshalls must only release cars onto the track away from the racing line, and only when there is a suitable gap in the race traffic. Running cars always have right of way over cars returning to the track.

10.9 Recovered cars must be returned to the track as close as is safety possible to the point at which they left the track, not on the other side of any barrier/corner.

10.10 Cars which are unable to continue the race and cannot reach the pull-off area should be recovered to the closest marshal point until the end of the race. Following the race, it remains the driver's responsibility to ensure the car reaches scrutineering.

Marshalls are permitted, but not required, to attempt minor repairs to a car so that it may continue running; this must not impede the marshal's normal duties. If, during the course of a race, a car has become unsafe to run, it must not be returned to the track.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Some rule proposals for marshalling procedure, as the current rules have no detail about what marshals should be doing, only that they should be there.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New ...should be: deleted amended

SECTION: RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Sh
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

14.7 The use of tyre treatments is at the race organiser's discretion. Notification of non-allowable tyre treatments must appear on the entry forms. **Competitors must produce, if requested by the race organiser, a COSHH sheet for any tyre additive used.**

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Some tire additives may contain hazardous chemicals which require at least some special precautions (eg gloves), or even be too toxic to be safely used at a race meeting. Using COSHH data sheet availability as a baseline rule for allowing any additive should give some degree of protection to racers from unknown hazardous chemicals.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Shaw
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

FAIL

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

~~No tyre treatments are allowed in wet conditions.~~

No tyre treatments or chemical cleaners are allowed in wet conditions. Wet tyres may only be cleaned with plain water. Slick tyres used in partially wet conditions may not have any additive residue remaining on their surface at the time of being placed on track.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Clarification of the rule as it is currently ambiguous and open to different interpretations



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Paul Coleman
Signature	
BRCA No.	150038
e-mail address	paulcolemanms@live.co.uk

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

15.14 Bodyshells: bodyshells must be approved by the BRCA 1:10 Electric Circuit Chief Scrutineer. Homologation list to be published on the BRCA website.

To be homologated a bodyshell must:

- ~~Be representative of a 'full size' Touring Car.~~
- Meet the current Global Body Spec dimensional requirements (Appendix 1).
- Have the part number moulded into the front windscreen.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Elliott Harper
Signature	
BRCA No.	16959
e-mail address	elliott.harper@hotmail.co.uk

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

~~15.10.3 The tyre supplier must make the tyre assembly available to UK shops such that shops can sell at the submitted retail price with at least a 33% profit margin.~~

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

During the 2019 national season, there was formal request for clarification of rule 15.10.3, whereby the Committee was asked whether the intent of rule 15.10.3 enables differing levels of discount between retailers under specific scenarios. Please note that rule 15.10.3 only applies for the specific products under 3.3.4 for the time period under 15.10.2.

This proposal provides three options for the discussion of members at the AGM.

For the avoidance of doubt, the wording of 15.10.3 cannot remain as is, due to uncertainty in the arrangements, and under any scenario, should be amended to one of the following:

- Clarify that all retailers, irrespective of any differentiating factors shall receive the same profit margin;
- Clarify that retailers can be offered differing discount dependent on retail premises; or
- Remove 15.10.3 entirely.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

SECTION: 10th Electric Circuit

RULE No: 15.10.3

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	James Stewart Chris Ashton Michael Ball
Signature	
BRCA No.	956 (James) 684 (Chris) 4656 (Michael)
e-mail address	James_stewart_1@btinternet.com chris@racing-cars.com mbmodels@btconnect.com

WITHDRAWN

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

15.10.3 The tyre supplier must make the tyre assembly available to UK shops such that shops can sell at the submitted retail price with at least a 33% profit margin. **This only need apply for shops with retail premises.**

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Clarification – in recent years there has been an increase in the number of online only or trackside only sellers. It has become industry standard practice for a distributor to apply a surcharge to these sellers in order not to put traditional bricks and mortar shops at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace due to their higher overheads. At present the above rule is creating some confusion as it's open to interpretation, adding the extra line should provide clarity. The wording does not oblige the distributor to apply the surcharge but gives them the discretion to.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

The proposed rule*... ...is New ...should be: deleted
amended Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

SECTION:

RULE No:

WITHDRAWN

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Shaw
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

The minimum car weight, including personal transponders is 1320g for four-wheel drive cars or 1150g for two-wheel-drive cars (two-wheel-drive cars must have one pair of wheels entirely disconnected from the drive motor).

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Gavin Clinch
Signature	
BRCA No.	50
e-mail address	gavgrafix@hotmail.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Bodyshells may be added to the homologation list at any time subject to approval. **There will be a new list published on the first of each month.** In order to be used at a BRCA sanctioned event, the bodyshell must be present on the homologation list on the Monday before the sanctioned event. **Shells must arrive on or before the 14th of the month to be considered for the list for the following month.**

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

SECTION:

1/10 Electric Circuit

RULE No:

15.14.1

PROPOSED BY:

WITHDRAWN

Name	Dale Burr
Signature	
BRCA No.	2272
e-mail address	info@rc-timing.com

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Bodyshells may be added to the homologation list at any time subject to approval.
In order to be used at a BRCA sanctioned event, the bodyshell must be present on the homologation list ~~on the Monday~~ **22 days** before the sanctioned event.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

Give chance for drivers to purchase, trim and spray shells properly. Reduce the number of one-colour blobs. Also avoid wasted time and money, as more chance to hear feedback on a shell before purchasing.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

The proposed rule*... ...is New ...should be: deleted
amended Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

SECTION:

RULE No:

WITHDRAWN

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Lawrence Shaw
Signature	
BRCA No.	171121
e-mail address	LzR.Engineering@googlemail.com

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

15.14 BodysHELLS: bodysHELLS must be approved by the BRCA 1:10 Electric Circuit Chief Scrutineer. Homologation list to be published on the BRCA website. To be homologated a bodysHELL must:

- Be representative of a 'full size' Touring Car. **A picture of the full size car the bodysHELL is intended to represent must be supplied with the homologation application**
- Meet the current Global Body Spec dimensional requirements (Appendix 1).
- Have the part number molded into the front windscreen.

ALTERNATIVELY: Delete the line "be representative of a full size touring car"

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Gavin Clinch
Signature	
BRCA No.	50
e-mail address	gavgrafix@hotmail.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Frontie class to run to rules as published on the BRCA website. Enforcement and penalties will be as per handbook rules.

With the growing popularity of this new class it is time it was included into the section with a basic rule set to guide race meetings. The rule set should remain open for the first year so that adjustments can be agreed and made as the class grows.



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Ben Cosgrove
Signature	
BRCA No.	3010
e-mail address	Atomic_uni@hotmail.com

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

16.3 Cars will use ~~commercially available~~ **“Formula 1” style** chassis ~~that meet constructional rules.~~
 Chassis based on other classes (GT10 or 12th Scale) are not allowed. ~~The aim of this class is to provide~~
for commercially available F1 kits and RTR cars

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)



BRCA - RULE PROPOSAL FORM

Use only 1 (one) page per proposal

The proposed rule*.... ...is New

...should be: deleted amended

SECTION:

RULE No:

PROPOSED BY:	
Name	Michael Lee
Signature	
BRCA No.	16447
e-mail address	michael@acelmetalwork.co.uk

PASS

The exact wording of the rule after your proposal has been approved would be:

(Please ensure any deletions or amendments are highlighted in bold text.)

Tyres will be easily identifiable with a **suitable permanent** external marking, **as determined by the Formula 1 sub-committee**, that can be used as a reference.

Description

(It would help if you could add a brief description of the thinking behind your proposal below)

The current F1 tyres don't have a permanent external marking, nor do most other F1 tyres on the market. So that there isn't unnecessary restriction on the choice of tyres for the vote, I think we should relax this rule to allow any suitable external marking to identify the control tyre.

A suitable marking could include a coloured paint dot, supplied sidewall sticker or something else completely. The intention is that there is still a way to tell if it is the control tyre, even though it might not be permanently marked in the sidewall as it is for a TC tyre.